


Security Enhancement – Oconee Sheriff’s Department Patrols

69. If there is support for moving forward, you will be provided with additional information about how this would work. Right now, we are only looking for your initial reaction if you are a homeowner. Would you consider supporting this initiative in principle?

If not, why not?

			Responses	Percent
Yes:			132	56.41%
No:			102	43.59%
Additional Comments:			99	42.31%
		Total Responded to this question:	234	86.35%
		Total who skipped this question:	37	13.65%
		Total:	271	100%

If there is support for moving forward, you will be provided with additional information about how this would work. Right now, we are only looking for your initial reaction if you are a homeowner. Would you consider supporting this initiative in principle? If not, why not?



All Respondents

	Responses	Percentage
Total Responded to this question:	234	86.35%
Total who skipped this question:	37	13.65%
Total:	271	100%

Response	Comments
1	Prohibitive cost without the desired results
2	We would want to keep the area private
3	Can a ticket be issued on private property?
4	Add more speed bumps, stop signs can be ran. I don't want the police force in our business.
5	I say yes but am not fully aware of the issues
6	There are things that can be done within --- 100% functioning gate, fencing, etc. As far as speeding, that will always be an issue and even the presence of the sheriff's dept won't make a difference. In addition, giving jurisdiction does not mean there will be a way for the dept. to execute enforcement. Third, it can lead to an invasion of the privacy we now have as a private community. No, no and no!
7	Speeding is a real problem. No one goes 25 mph. Lately loud mufflers especially at night is really annoying.
8	It is our understanding that if we do this, it would be necessary to eliminate the gated feature of our community, and we are not in favor of losing a gated entry.
9	currently don't view as necessary, however as a newer resident I am not aware of these issues of vandalism
10	It might help a little at first, but after a period of time, people would go back to same old ways -- just like on the roads outside Chickasaw. They are all patrolled and that doesn't stop speeding. Consider renting radar controlled "YOUR SPEED IS _____" signs to set up periodically on well-traveled streets.
11	Unless the Sheriff's ticket and/or restrict the use of private golf carts in our community. Being able to have my own cart and use it in the community and golf course is one of the MAIN reasons I own in CP.
12	The likelihood of the law being in the right place at the right time is minimal. Also, with it being private roads it would hold very little weight in court.
13	Private property. Add speed bumps and add cameras and radar signs to enforce speed limits. Fine if a problem
14	I want our privacy
15	WE need Police support at least visually.

- 16 It is important to protect the safety and security of our community.
- 17 It can only help with community safety and security. Why would we NOT want their assistance and expertise and involvement with us? We do not have a roving security force, as far as I know. This should help with the issues mentioned above. We already pay for their services with our taxes, correct? great idea!
- 18 Perhaps on a limited basis for problems, such as monitoring the storage areas. Probably could be done from Union Street.
- 19 I don't think it will make a big difference
- 20 Would need to understand how this would be implemented.
- 21 we have 911 for emergency
- 22 the speeding should be a problem we can solve ourselves
- 23 I do NOT want the Sheriff's Department cruising our gated community. This would actually encourage me to sell my property.
- 24 Absolutely agree. Wrong way drivers and speeders are very dangerous. We see both on a daily basis. Also, we have seen people dumping their trash, rubbish, trees, branches, leaves etc. on other people's empty lots that are not seen from the street. (Saw a mattress one time) even though we have a FREE landfill in our county!
- 25 We don't see a problem that can't be handled internally
- 26 Do it yesterday
- 27 Unless the crime and speeding are significant - I don't know it to be but I would be curious to know more.
- 28 I like the friendly atmosphere of our community- to be able to drive my golf cart around and stop and talk to neighbors
- 29 We don't see a problem big enough to involve the sheriff dept. We are a private community and like that feeling.
- 30 Further information is required
- 31 Depends on recommendations on what would be implemented. For example, if police come in to set up speed traps to meet quotas don't want it. If they are being inviting for specific situations we would support.

- 32 Multiple residents enjoy riding in side by sides and golf carts drinking and visiting neighbors. With enforcement this would limit ability to socialize and enjoy the freedom of living inside the gate.
- 33 First, for those that think the sheriff's office cares about this community, they do!! They also realize it's a private community and could care less about a golf cart with a beer in the cupholder. Safety of the residents has to come first. If it takes some crime showing up at your door before you do something about it, you're too late!!!
- 34 With better control at the gate and a video surveillance system at necessary activity areas, we should be able to handle most cases of law breaking. Also, as a community we should be all aware of things happening within our community.
- 35 Private not public, and DO NOT WANT law enforcement patrols looking for violations like DUI on golf carts, or blocking right of ways, or any one of the thousands of motor vehicle violations that CAN be cited, in a PRIVATE community- NOT PUBLIC, or even quasi-public!! This is a can of worms you will wish you never opened!!!! This comes from a retired police officer!!!
- 36 However, would not support the elimination of utilizing golf carts and UTV's on our roadways.
- 37 I support it though I doubt much time would be spent patrolling our community. However, an occasional drive through showing their presence may deter some of the bad behavior of speeders, kids speeding on golf carts and ATV's, thumping brain-dead music, etc.
- 38 Signs and cameras and issue fines payable to POA and evictions for thieves.
- 39 Yes, we will support this action.
- 40 This issue is important and the POA cannot do an adequate job; I know because I tried.
- 41 Too many not abiding the rules. Do it
- 42 ONCE INSIDE, COMMUNITY FEELS SAFE. IF GATE WORKED CONSISTENTLY, THIS WOULD HELP KEEP OUT UNWANTED. IT WAS INOPERABLE PROBABLY 100+ DAYS OVER PAST YEAR? UNACCEPTABLE FOR US. HAVING SHERRIFF DRIVE THROUGH WOULD CHANGE THE "HOMEY" FEEL- THEY WOULD TICKET GOLF CARTS & UTV FOR MINOR ISSUES, IMO.
- 43 We don't feel it is necessary.

- 44 This is private property and opening this up to enforcement for a few people who complain often and are louder about it, can change the entire feel of this community.
- 45 If it helps reduce vandalism & reckless driving.
- 46 not necessary
- 47 I would want to know if the county takes over jurisdiction of our roads would that eliminate the use of golf carts and other off-road vehicles from using the roads. That would be a huge negative for us. It would also pose a huge DUI issue in the neighborhood in my opinion. There is a difference in golf carts and off-road vehicles. We actually have insurance on our ranger.
- 48 Could have other negative ramifications.... we need to creatively deter irresponsible driving!
- 49 Although there are a few incidents, it seems that police have more important matters to attend. AND, they show up every time they are called today.
- 50 Once in hard to get out. Lots of residents leaving events might not pass dui test. Better to have wall of shame
- 51 Been looked at several times. Drawback is limited county resources, little support from the community and. easy access to law enforcement as needed.
- 52 More interested in seeing the theft problem in sick and storage area getting addressed than the occasional speeder getting tickets. The sheriff has bigger problems in the county to address. Put it more speed bumps if it's that big a problem.
- 53 I'm not sure but I think this would have unintended consequences and had to back out of. this would require a lot of discussion.
- 54 Not at this time. We should do more internal before this option is pursued. Another option is to hire an outside company first to do security, similar to Foxwoods Hills who pays for a private security company to patrol their neighborhood.
- 55 No!!!!!!!!!!!!????????!!!!!!!!!!!!
- 56 Not needed. There is an overreaction on the part an overreaction few. Stop signs are put up when one person requests it rather than several requests for a stop sign. The stop signs are not observed because often they are overkill like the one on Hogan with 2 speed bumps in close proximity. I've lived here for over 30 years and have seen 3 times that cars come together at the intersection of Fairway and Hogan. No wonder people don't stop on Hogan.

- 57 Not necessary at this time
- 58 Feel this would not only be beneficial for our residents but also a positive marketing tool for prospective homebuyers
- 59 Added cost to pay officers to patrol
- 60 The police are overworked as it is. I would rather have them on the main roads stopping the more dangerous criminals. We just need to step up our enforcement inside, somehow.
- 61 We should be able to institute a plan for policing ourselves.
- 62 It would affect the golf carts. Think of all the times we drive to see sunset in carts with alcohol in them. That would be ticketed. And for many other reasons, don't bring outside police into community PLEASE.
- 63 Don't agree it's an issue
- 64 Depends on how program is structured...not sure I would ultimately support it.
- 65 I do not believe that adding to our county's patrol needs would make a significant difference in our community. If we opened up our roads to the county, I would not think they would be in here that often. Would open containers in a golf cart ever be an issue? While I know we are only supposed to allow licensed drivers to drive a golf cart, but I am sure I am not the only grandparent to bend that rule, when I was in the cart.
- 66 A lot of DUI's would hit residents that don't really cause trouble but would just be driving back from the community center or event center. That seems that would cause a lot more harm than good. A few more speed bumps would help. I wish the original developer had built a sidewalk on the roads. As far as vandalism...the gate attendants need to do better job screening entry and/or reporting strange cars. I've seen the attendants also just open the gates without checking them in first. Like I mentioned in previous survey questions. Attendant is waste of money. We should take the money we spend on attendant and built a real gate instead
- 67 The country will not take on that responsibility and expense, in my opinion.
- 68 We don't believe the additional level of services that will actually be provided by the Sheriff's Department would be significant enough to result in a significant improvement in the community's area. The department is already overextended, understaffed and underfunded. Its performance of these services in the county area hasn't eliminated these problems. How can we realistically expect any difference?

- 69 These are private roads and we would have to pay yet more money for this unneeded service.
- 70 No interest in allowing the sheriff's department unlimited jurisdiction to the community.
- 71 No, why would we invite police supervision into a closed community? They would have to enforce ALL the laws of the state and local governments. Vandalism should be handled internally and speeding is an issue that can be addressed several different ways.
- 72 Not enough information to say yes.
- 73 In principle at this time. I personally don't think it would make much difference. Our community is spread out and it would make traffic enforcement difficult. And it would be unlikely that law enforcement would provide the resources necessary.
- 74 Doubtful that LEOs would be here enough to impact bad behavior. Worried about impact on driving golf carts on our roads.
- 75 Too many events serving alcohol. You can get DUI in a golf cart.
- 76 We do not need them here.
- 77 Need more information
- 78 One more level of security for our community.
- 79 I prefer to keep our roads and neighborhood private.
- 80 For gods sake, keep the cops out of here. We should police ourselves. I can't speak to vandalism...but my guess is it is coming from the lake.... not the outside. if you need to do more, put cameras up in the storage area. but keep the cops/Radar/Traffic issues internal
- 81 The security here starts with the guard gate. Every car that pulls up is allowed in. Change the rules up front!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
- 82 I would be, but only if it would actually help with the theft issues. Speeders are going to continue to speed. May need other resolution for this.
- 83 I don't agree with having the sheriff patrol the roads and issuing tickets because there are too many community team members who drink and drive within our private community in either cars, golf carts, or utility vehicles. A better solution would be to strengthen our front entrance protection and access, along with video surveillance.

- 84 It's insane that the community knows the sheriff doesn't patrol the subdivision. It's like an open invitation for thieves. Why has this been put off for so long?
- 85 just a part timer, so don't see an issue. Re: vandalism, is it coming from the water? If not, keep spare parts for the gate so it does not stay up for weeks.
- 86 As a lot owner, I spend 4 -6 months in the area traveling on the roads within Chickasaw to golf, boating, events, visiting friends.
- 87 We could install video surveillance or replace any that do not work in POA property areas where vandalism occurs. This would help catch who is committing the vandalism. Then have them prosecuted to the fullest extent of the law.
- 88 There is a real problem with underage drivers of golf carts not paying attention and driving over the line. If this isn't corrected, we will have a serious tragedy one day.
- 89 I would consider it, but I don't know that the Sheriff's Office will be able to control speeding and not stopping for Stop signs unless they have a continual presence, which they cannot possibly do.
- 90 Along with law enforcement in the area, issuing ID cards for the marina owners and lot residents
- 91 Waiting on more information
- 92 VANDALISM only. Unless there is a high occurrence of driver incidence, no need for police patrol. Any records on driver accidents? /Walkers insured? If those numbers are available, please share. Evaluate where stop signs are and the real need for where they are. Also make walkers aware that at times the sun blinds drivers and even when going the speed limit there are close calls.
- 93 Is parking included? How will it be handled>
- 94 We think we are fine utilizing their services when needed. Unless things get worse, we'd have to vote against it.
- 95 I do not see that there is an issue with drivers exceeding the speed limits or running stop signs. You are basing this on the opinions of a few people. Will the police give tickets to people who walk in the road not facing traffic? We seem to have a small number of people who only complain. You cannot tell how fast a car is moving when you are standing still or looking out your window. We could eliminate vandalism if security cameras, fences and gate operations were working and were top priority. Stop signs may be getting run due to poor speed bump placement at the stop signs. And some stop signs are placed at intersections to create all way when not needed or logical (calls. Hogan at Fairway).

- 96 We are for the Board looking into it and reporting the findings back to the community before a decision is made. What level of coverage would we receive?
- 97 This is a private community. Having police officers issue tickets would change this.
- 98 Love that we are private
- 99 I think we would have a jurisdictional issue here. Our roads are private property and not in the public domain.